

Exposing COVID-19 Crimes

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#) , December 09, 2023

MUST SHARE - David Martin - Exposing the Covid-19 Crimes - Speech in Dornach Switzerland - Part 1/2

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/MMXfRHgFybqK/>

MUST SHARE - David Martin - Exposing the Covid-19 Crimes - Speech in Dornach Switzerland - Part 2/2

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/rpPPlimTVDF/>

Story at-a-glance

- In an October 2023 lecture, David E. Martin, Ph.D., detailed how we can know that SARS-CoV-2 is a manmade bioweapon that has been in the works for 58 years
- The virus called “coronavirus” was first described in 1965. Two years later, the U.S. and U.K. launched an exchange program where healthy British military personnel were infected with coronavirus pathogens from the U.S. as part of the U.S. biological weapons program
- In 1992, Ralph Baric at University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, took a pathogen that used to infect the gut and lungs and altered it with a chimera to make it infect the heart, causing cardiomyopathy. This research was part of the efforts to produce an HIV vaccine
- In November 2000, Pfizer patented its first spike protein vaccine. Between 2000 and 2019, vaccine trials using this technology proved it was lethal, yet in the summer of 2020, the clinical trials for the SARS-CoV-2 shots went straight into human trials
- mRNA spike protein was publicly described as a bioweapon 18 years ago. In 2005, at a conference hosted by DARPA and The Mitre Corporation, the mRNA spike protein was hailed as a “biological warfare-enabling technology,” i.e., a biological warfare agent

The video above features a lecture David E. Martin,¹ Ph.D., gave in Dornach, Switzerland, in late October 2023. Martin is a national intelligence analyst and founder of IQ100 Index, which developed linguistic genomics, a platform capable of determining the intent of communications.

This technology has allowed Martin to scan and review millions of patents, resulting in a paper trail^{2,3} that conclusively proves SARS-CoV-2 is a manmade bioweapon that has been in the works for 58 years.

Unambiguous Admission of a Premeditated Plandemic

As he is now in the habit of doing, Martin opens his lecture with a quote by Peter Daszak, president of [EcoHealth Alliance](#). During a March 27, 2015, forum on Medical and Public Health Preparedness for Catastrophic Events, Daszak noted⁴ that unless an infectious disease crisis is at an emergency threshold, it tends to be ignored.

"To sustain the funding base beyond the crisis, we need to increase public understanding of the need for MCMs (medical countermeasures) such as a pan-influenza or pan-coronavirus vaccine," Daszak said, adding:⁵

"A key driver is the media, and the economics follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of the process."

Martin comments:

"This is the admission, unambiguously, which states without any equivocation, that the reason for the global terror campaign that began officially in the minds of most people in late 2019, was a premeditated plan of terrorism, collusion, coercion and, ultimately, murder ... This quote is the admission of four felonies, regardless of which side of the Atlantic you're on."

What Felonies Did Daszak Admit to in 2015?

Martin then goes on to explain how, in that quote from 2015, Daszak admitted to several different felonies. In summary:

- **"To sustain the funding base beyond the crisis ..."** — Daszak is not speaking of expanding or benefiting public health here. He's also not referring to an actual health crisis that was taking place when the comment was made.

No, according to Martin, "the crisis was that there was a reduction in funding of biological weapons programs sponsored by the World Health Organization. The crisis was not a health crisis. It was a funding crisis for the people who were running out of money for their bioweapons programs. Those are two crimes."

- **"A key driver is the media, and the economics will follow the hype."** — This, according to Martin, is an admission of two additional crimes. "Hype" refers to psychological terror. In other words, funding will follow provided the psychological terror is great enough, and he admits the media will be used to push that fear porn.

The second felony is economic conspiracy, because "economics that follow hype is not informed consent," Martin notes. "That's not willing buyer, willing seller, informed of all the facts." Using psychological terror to secure funding implies "an intent to defraud."

Martin explains: "Under Crown Law we call it 'fraudulent conveyance' when you don't inform the counterparty of the risks associated with a contract ... Why is this important?"

The reason why fraudulent conveyance is such an important principle in the law, is ... [because] the fraud-perpetrating party is required under the law to not just recompense the damage.

Their legal obligation is to return the damaged party to their pre-damaged state. It's not, 'We're going to give you a couple bucks for your pain and suffering. No, you are legally required to return the condition to the pre-damage state."

So, to reiterate, financial compensation is not the legal standard when it comes to fraudulent conveyance. The party that engaged in the fraud is legally required to make the defrauded whole again. And why is THAT important? Because "we're not even asking for what we should ask for," Martin says.

Is there a dollar amount that can cure the myocarditis you suffered after the shot? Or the turbo cancer that's killing your mother? Or the blood clots that killed your father? "If we followed the law, we would actually recommend, not a financial compensation, we would recommend a return to the pre-damaged state," Martin says.

- **"We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues."** — What are "the real issues"? To get investors to respond with funding, which they will do if they can "see profit at the end of the process." In other words, investors will open their pocketbooks if they can confirm that psychological terror makes people line up to receive an injection.

Why Do We Need a Vaccine for an Eradicated Infection?

Martin goes on to note that a Pan-Coronavirus Vaccine Program was actually publicly announced during the moratorium on gain of function on coronaviruses in the United States, which was in place from 2014 until 2017.⁶

"That gain of function moratorium was going on while we were announcing a global plan of global terrorism, a pan-coronavirus vaccine, which, by the way, the World Health Organization ... declared eradicated a year earlier," Martin says.

"How do we need a vaccine for an eradicated disease, during a gain of function moratorium, when there's theoretically no chance that we could have a reason to need a vaccine for a thing that doesn't exist? Well, because we were making it — professor Baric. We were hyping it — Peter Daszak ... And we were going to hijack liberty with it."

The 58-Year Timeline of SARS-CoV-2

As explained by Martin, the virus called "coronavirus" was first described in 1965. Two years later, the U.S. and U.K. launched an exchange program where healthy British military personnel were infected with coronavirus pathogens from the U.S. — "as part of our biological weapons program."

In 1992, Ralph Baric at University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, took a pathogen that used to infect the gut and lungs and altered it with a chimera to make it infect the heart, causing cardiomyopathy.

"Pause and think about what I just said," Martin says. *"What what goes on in the head of a person who says, 'This was a little glitch in my tummy, it was a little sniffle in my nose. Let's see if we can make it hit hearts and ... create cardiomyopathy,' one of the most lethal heart inflammations possible ..."*

In November 2000, Pfizer patented its first spike protein vaccine. So, Operation Warp Speed really didn't produce a spike protein vaccine in a few months. No, that research had been going on since late 2000. So, the COVID shots were 19 years in the making by the time they were rolled out.

The problem is that during those 19 years, none of the coronavirus vaccines worked. "Every single trial, from November of 2000 until [2019], had killed all of the animals into which the experimental injections were placed," Martin says.

Despite that, the University of California San Francisco's institutional review board was told, in the summer of 2020, that the clinical trials for the coronavirus vaccine were a "straight to humans protocol." In other words, it didn't need to go through preliminary animal research.

As noted by Martin, it would be quite inconvenient to have safety data showing it kills animals. No one would line up for a shot like that, no matter how many free cheeseburgers you throw at them.

How Can We Know That SARS Was a Weapon?

While all of that is disturbing enough, there's more. Martin continues:

"You kind of can't make this egregious level of a crime up unless you realize that behind this, there must be another crime. Each one of these, in and of themselves, is horrific. But the sum of them becomes much, much, much more problematic.

Let's go ahead and jump to the wonderful creation of the patent that was filed in 2002, which is actually the reason why I am done with everybody who ask the question 'Was there a novel virus; was there novel disease?' Let's stipulate, with the facts, that there were neither.

There's not a novel virus. There WAS a variety of biological weapons designed off the back of the patent that was filed in 2002, which was the 'infectious replication-defective clone of coronavirus.'

Now let's slow down and answer the question, what does that phrase mean? Infectious replication-defective. 'Infectious' means we want to target a cell in the body to make sure the thing that we're injecting goes into the cell ...

'Replication-defective' means we want the information that we inject to infect that cell, but not replicate and spread to others, which means that the bioweapon itself was engineered as a weapon to hit a target, but not proliferate.

That's what the patented technology is, which is the reason why, when we had SARS 1.0 in 2002 and 2003 ... we were [told there would be] dead people everywhere. [But] as hard as we tried to make it

into a pandemic ... we [could] only kick 900 people off the mountain. That was the global pandemic. Why? Because the weapon worked.

If you exposed somebody to the toxic agent, they died. But they didn't spread it to others, which is the reason why we did not have the transmission of SARS 1.0, because you can't transmit a thing that's designed not to replicate.

But worse still: What is the definition of a virus? ... A virus is a replicating protein sequence. Guess what this isn't? Replication-defective means we took the virus out of a virus. It was not a replicating device. It was in fact a weapon.

Now, I've got tons of people who go, 'Dave, you're crossing the line, don't say it's a weapon. It's not a weapon ... You offend people who kill people when you call it a weapon.' Well, guess what, if you're offended, I don't care, because I didn't call it a weapon — the guy who built it called it a weapon."

mRNA Spike Protein Is a Biological Warfare Agent

Indeed, mRNA spike protein was publicly described as a bioweapon 18 years ago. In 2005, at a conference hosted by DARPA and the Mitre Corporation in the U.S., the mRNA spike protein was hailed as a "biological warfare-enabling technology." Does that sound like it has any public health-related applications? No, as Martin insists, "biological warfare-enabling technology" means it's a biological warfare agent.

"So, I'm not the one saying that it's a biological weapon. I'm not the one saying it's biological warfare," Martin says.

"The perpetrator called it that in 2005, and was rewarded with a dual entry budget, where ... the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, received money from Anthony Fauci's NIAID/NIH budget, and exactly at the same time ... Fauci had a second checkbook [that] came from the Department of Defense pandemic preparedness program. And guess what that was? An equal matching noncompetition grant ...

In Europe, that's a violation of anti-competition laws. You're not allowed to double down on a public grant without competition or transparency, saying that this agency is going to give you \$10 million ... and [a second] one is going to give you \$10 million ... because [the first] one gave you \$10 million —

Not because it was fair, not because it was open, not because it was transparent, not because there was actually grant competition, but by virtue of the determination of one side, the other side factually matched the money. And that started in 2005, not in 2019."

Big Pharma Owns All North Carolina Universities

Over the past two years, a lot of information has come out exposing how Daszak funneled millions of research dollars to the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV) in China for gain of function research on coronaviruses. However, that's just the tip of the iceberg. According to Martin, at least \$141 million went to the U.S. bioweapons program led by the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill. Martin continues:

"I have been the most ardent advocate for shaming the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill for a very good reason ... and the reason is because in 1984, the state of North Carolina, not just the university, sold itself to ... GlaxoSmithKline and the Wellcome companies.

The reason why you've heard the term 'Research Triangle Institute' or 'Research Triangle Park' — which is University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Duke University and North Carolina State University — is because the state of North Carolina sold its universities to GlaxoSmithKline Wellcome, and they did it because of AZT.

AZT was on patent, and we needed a state in the United States to be ground zero, to make sure that AZT became the drug of choice for the treatment of HIV. So in 1984, we invent HIV, conveniently for the purpose of making sure we have one treatment: AZT.

Here's the interesting little fact that very few people know. If you go back and look at the videos of Anthony Fauci in 1985 and 1986 ... he's talking about [getting] a vaccine for HIV. But he suddenly got a knock on the door from GlaxoSmithKline going, 'Hey Mr. Fauci, don't start that project until the patent on AZT runs out.'

I'm not making this up. It's actually videos that you can see. And so, mysteriously, courtesy of the Wellcome AZT protest, from 1991 to 1996, the world was told that the only treatment for HIV was AZT, and as such, the patent and the rest of the patent life on AZT could expire, so that GlaxoSmithKline Wellcome could get all of the money for the patented technology for a thing that was killing patients that allegedly had HIV.

Murder for hire. North Carolina sold the state so that could happen. Conveniently, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) decided that UNC Chapel Hill was its go-to institution, while AZT was in its monopoly run, to begin the process of doing HIV vaccine research ...

So, '91 to '96 is the AZT cover story. Underneath that you have Ralph Baric genetically modifying and making chimeras of this coronavirus thing to create an HIV vaccine, which is going to conveniently roll out in 1997, as the patent on AZT expires.

[This] is the reason why you need to figure out how to get the gastrointestinal and flu problem to become a heart problem: Because you need to get that package, that little envelope around what we call coronavirus ... to deliver the HIV vaccine.

So all of the funding for the HIV vaccine that was going to this program was actually going to use coronavirus as the packet in which the HIV vaccine was going to be delivered. That's the model. [There are] hundreds of papers on this.

And, this is why this question of ... is there HIV fragments somewhere in [the COVID shots]? The answer is, of course there is. It was designed into it. And it was designed into it, not a couple of years ago, not by Moderna, not by BioNtech. This was designed in many, many years earlier.

Not surprisingly, from '96 to '99, Ralph Baric begins the weaponization of this allegedly synthetic coronavirus envelope to become a vaccine vector. 1999 comes along, and lo and behold, Baric and Fauci create what I affectionately call FrankenCoV.

What's that? That is the monster, that's the chimera. That's the idea that we can change surface glycans, we can change surface spike proteins, we can change surface oligomerization, we can do all kinds of things to modify this thing.

So we can actually have this ... package shell, the outer edge of coronavirus, we can allow that to be the carrier of getting anything we want into any cell we want. Which is the reason why the 2002 patent becomes interesting."

NIAID Funded Research to Increase Human Pathogenicity

Next, Martin shows a letter, dated October 21, 2014, from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) to the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, declaring that Baric's

grant I1077810-02 had been deemed subject to the moratorium on gain of function research involving coronaviruses. However, at the bottom of the page 1, it also states that:

"As this grant is already funded, the pause is voluntary and you can continue to conduct the applicable GOF [gain of function] research until the end of the currently active budget period."

In other words, the NIAID gave Baric a free pass to decide whether he wanted to abide by the moratorium or not. What's more, the grant actually didn't have a termination date, because it was a noncompetitive, perpetually funded grant. So, Baric was given a free pass to conduct gain of function research indefinitely.

And what was this grant for? To increase the "human pathogenesis" of coronavirus in vivo, meaning inside the body. "Two billion people are going to be incapacitated or killed — because of this letter," Martin says.

Who Can Be Held Accountable?

Alright. So, why can't we just prosecute Baric, Fauci and whomever else and be done with it? Because this research project was placed under the World Health Organization's GAVI Vaccine Alliance, and under Article 5, Section 13 of the WHO's charter, they cannot be investigated or prosecuted for any crimes committed. GAVI, headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland, also has diplomatic immunity and cannot be investigated by local authorities there either.

"They knew that if they put the project under the WHO, it was shielded from all criminal investigation and all criminal liability — forever," Martin says.

But that's not all. 2010 to 2020 was declared The Decade of Vaccines. GAVI devised a global vaccine action plan that included global acceptance of a "universal influenza-coronavirus vaccine" by 2020, to protect against "accidental or intentional release" of a respiratory pathogen. As noted by Martin, "release" is "an active, intent-filled word. It is not an 'oops' accident."

Recall, the same person who said they needed to create media hype to create sustained funding, Daszak, was appointed to lead the WHO's investigation into the lab leak theory. Not surprisingly, his team decided there was no evidence to support the lab leak theory and it was probably a case of zoonotic transference after all.

A Crime That Keeps Going and Going

Martin also stresses that this crime is not just about the creation of COVID. It's a crime that keeps going and going. He explains how children were murdered in 2011 clinical trials for a malaria vaccine. Sixty-six of the children in the vaccine group suffered serious and/or fatal adverse events, as did 28 in the control group. However, controls were not given saline, but rather a cocktail of other vaccines.

"When people attempted to hold the clinical trials agents accountable for their actions, guess what they referred to? They referred to Article [5 Section] 13 of their representative as members [of the WHO, which gives them] 'immunity from personal arrest or detention and from seizure personal baggage and respect to words spoken or written and all acts done by them in their official capacity, immunity from legal process of every kind.'

That's in the charter of what we call the World Health Organization. That ladies and gentlemen is the mafia, and we should stop pretending it's something else.

It is an embarrassment to the Swiss people. It is embarrassment to the Swiss government that the World Health Organization exists in this place. Because the Swiss have enabled the organized crime of the World Health Organization, and they have enabled it so that real individuals can murder children under the age of three months ...

We the People cannot allow this to happen. We're talking about the [WHO pandemic] treaty ... [when] we should be talking about the World Health Organization itself, not the treaty. And as long as Section 13 of Article 5 remains in the charter, I don't care what treaties they pass, it doesn't matter, because the institution is corrupt at its core, and you can't fix that. That is a license to kill."

Martin also provides a quick review of the history of how the WHO came to be, and how, in 1952, then-director-general of the WHO, Brock Chisholm, declared that "the role of the WHO is population control."

Aside from being in charge of population control, the WHO is a marketing and distribution arm for private sector interests that sponsor it (Bill Gates being a primary one), while simultaneously providing them with immunity from prosecution.

According to Martin, Gates various organizations provide so much money to the WHO that "By every definition of the law, [the WHO] is a wholly owned subsidiary."

Timeline

Toward the end of his speech, Martin summarizes some of the key items on the timeline of the conspiracy to commit global genocide:

- In 2002, U.S. scientists developed the weapon.
- In 2003, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention patented the weapon in its first commercial deployment (SARS).
- In 2005, mRNA spike protein was declared a biological "warfare-enabling" technology.
- In 2016, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences published "SARS-Like W1V1-COV Poised for Human Emergence." The W1V1-COV refers to the first COVID-like virus made at the WIV. In that article, they not only state that the virus is ready for release, but they also detailed the best ways to release it.

At the bottom of the article, you also learn that the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill impaneled two separate institutional review board reviews of this study, the first to review the ethics of the research and a second to review the ethics of violating the gain of function moratorium, which is unusual to say the least. As noted by Martin:

"You do not usually have an ethics board going 'Well, should we do this? It's probably a bad idea.' And then somebody goes, 'It's illegal' ... 'OK, should we do the illegal thing?' 'Yeah, let's go ahead do that. The guys over here said it was ethical to do the illegal thing to kill people.' That happened and is published in this 2016 article."

- September 18, 2019, the Global Preparedness Monitoring Board, jointly founded by the WHO and the World Bank,⁹ warned that "a rapidly spreading pandemic due to a lethal respiratory pathogen (whether naturally emergent or accidentally or deliberately released) poses additional preparedness requirements."

Furthermore, the "Progress indicator by September 2020" section specified the commitment by donors and member countries to finance and develop a universal influenza vaccine and other therapeutics.⁹

"This is the admission by the World Health Organization that they are going to do a release of a respiratory pathogen," Martin says, adding:

"And, by the way, the reason why this is particularly important is they say 'a lethal respiratory pathogen.' They knew they were going to kill people. That's why they use the word lethal ...

This is the evidence that we can use in a criminal case to say, 'This was not an accident. This was an actual premeditated act of lethality.' They not only told you when it was going to happen. They told you the deadline for the outcome response. 'We're going to release the pathogen so that by September 2020, the world has accepted a universal vaccine.' That is prima facie terrorism, collusion, racketeering, criminal conspiracy and ... murder.

So that's why we have the Wanted posters ... [for] Peter Daszak ... Ralph Baric ... Jeremy Farrar ... Chris Elias ... Ghebreyesus ... Bill Gates, Anthony Fauci, the World Health Organization, DARPA, the United Nations ... Rockefeller Foundation, the Wellcome Trust and the Gates Foundation.

These individuals, in violation of racketeering, antitrust and anticompetition laws, colluded to create the largest act of global terrorism known to Earth and announced the plan to do it on September 18, 2019, with premeditation and with the intent to kill.

This was entirely a premeditated act. They told us it would happen in 2011. They announced the event horizon in 2019 ... Conspiring to commit acts of terror, restraint of trade, deceptive medical practices, price fixing, fraudulent conveyance. These are the crimes that the World Health Organization not only allowed to happen, but [it also] promoted these crimes and gave political cover for those crimes ...

All-cause mortality in the ages of 18 to 55 is now 40% higher in the people that were injected with a biological weapon. That number is not going down. That number is going up in every jurisdiction. And here's the saddest part about it. That number will continue to go up. If they [meet] their 2011 objective, that number will go up to 2 billion people."

The Damage Is Done

Martin points out that even if they don't unleash any other bioweapons, the desired death toll may still be achieved, because they used pseudouridine in the mRNA shots, which is causing "turbo cancers."

Pseudouridine suppresses cancer-controlling agents and promotes oncogenic activity in the body, and this has been known since 2018, so its inclusion was hardly an accident.

The shots are also targeting reproduction, which is a key target if you want to depopulate. It's not just infertility. Prostate, ovarian and uterine cancers make it more difficult to have sex, and hence more difficult to have children.

According to Martin, the evidence is clear. None of this is accidental. It's a conspiracy, alright. But not a conspiracy theory in the dismissive sense. It's a global conspiracy by identifiable agents who have, for nearly 60 years, plotted to commit, and profit from, the greatest genocide the world has ever seen, while hiding behind the false veneer of "public health."

Sources and References

- ¹ [DavidMartin.world](#)
- ² [The Fauci COVID-19 Dossier 2021](#)
- ³ [The Modern-Day Faucian Drama: Bargaining With Evil](#)
- ^{4, 5} [The Modern-Day Faucian Drama: Bargaining With Evil, Page 11](#)
- ⁶ [The Lancet Infectious Diseases February 2018](#)
- ⁷ [PNAS March 14, 2016; 113\(11\): 3048-3053](#)
- ⁸ [WHO October 26, 2021](#)
- ⁹ [A World At Risk September 19, Page 8](#)