

Communists and How they Think

When we see things occurring that makes no sense, **beware**, because there are forces in this world that having been working tirelessly to wear down common sense people by implementing procedures that are meant to confuse them to a common purpose through a method called 'dialectical materialism.'

In his book, "You Can Trust The Communists To Be Communists," the late Dr. Fred Schwarz lays out the plan of communism of societal change and world domination. Just in my lifetime I have seen the effect of the long term Communist agenda as it relates to the changing behavior of people, societies and countries. Religion, Education, Business, Science and Government becoming more and more socialist by the day. It is a methodology of seduction birthing a chaotic environment that is in opposition to the very heart of the basic tenets of YHWH, the eternal God of the universe. The following is a summary explanation of Dialectic Materialism given to us from the pen of Dr. Schwarz.

"Dialectical materialism is the philosophy of Karl Marx that he formulated by taking the dialectic of Hegel, marrying it to the materialism of Feuerbach, abstracting from it the concept of progress in terms of the conflict of contradictory, interacting forces called the Thesis and the Antithesis culminating at a critical nodal point where one overthrows the other, giving rise to the Synthesis, applying it to the history of social development, and deriving therefrom an essentially revolutionary concept of social change."

If we examine the philosophy of Dialectical Materialism in more detail, we see that there are two elements in it. There is the dialectical portion, and there is the materialism portion. They affirm confidently, arrogantly and repeatedly that here is nothing in the world except matter in motion. The precise form of their materialism was taken from the German philosopher, Feuerbach, a renegade theologian who forsook Theism and embraced materialism. His basic slogan was "man is what he eats. We are matter in motion, nothing more. The argument between the materialist and the idealist is as old as the history of human thought. Into the two categories, realists and idealists, the philosophers of the world would have been divided. The realist or materialists contend that matter is the ultimate reality, and that thought is a secondary manifestation of matter. On the other hand, the idealists contend that matter is only known through thought. Take away thought and matter would be non-existent. The basic reality therefore, is thought.

The three features of the dialectic are **progress**, the **dialectical nature of progress** and **conflict**.

PROGRESS

The first feature of the dialectic is the axiom that progress is inherent in change, The dialectic is a dynamic philosophy. It says that nothing is, that everything is in a state of flux or development. The dialectic would teach for example, that no man can stand twice on the bank of the same river, for the second time is a totally different river. In a similar way, everything is in process of development and change. Around us is a vast panorama of changing circumstances and conditions. With in the vastness of this change, there is a principle of developing, organization, there is a movement from lower to higher. Hidden within the diversity and apparent purposelessness of change there is a principle of progress.

The communists make no attempt to prove that progress is at the heart of change. It is one of their axioms. They accept it by faith. In this sense, it is a pseudo-religious belief.

The question arises: Can a Communist society be brought about? Our answer is "yes." About this the whole theory of Marxism-Leninism offers a scientific explanation that leaves no room for doubt. It further explains that as the ultimate result of the class struggle of mankind, such a society will be brought about. They are the wave of the future, Their victory is as certain as the rising of the sun because the same material law that causes the sun to rise in the morning has ordained that they shall conquer and rule the world. Of this they have no vestige of doubt. Since they believe this completely, their convictions are undisturbed by any evidence to the contrary that may appear day by day. They stand above the changing scene of daily ebb and flow and see the currents and tides of history.

The idea that their faith can be shattered by anything they see at present is naïve to the point of imbalance. Just how widespread the ignorance of this is was revealed by many of the reasons advanced in support of Krushchev's visit to the United States in September 1959. An argument frequently put forward was: Let us show Krushchev how the people of Americas live: Let him see their fine homes, their modern automobiles, their open churches. When he sees all this he will be impressed and will realize the error of his previous viewpoint. Such an argument as this displays gross ignorance of Krushchev's dialectical faith.. In the first place, Krushchev's espionage system is such that he was able to discover the most intimate secrets of American atomic science. To imagine that he needed to come to America to discover how the American people lived, in what kind of houses they lived and how many cars they had is utterly infantile. But even if this were not so, even if Krushchev's tour of America had revealed to him many unsuspected facts about the American way of life could not have changed him fundamentally. For present conditions and circumstances have little authority to him. **Krushev is a Communist, not because of the present, but because of the future.** The future belongs to the Communists. They will inevitably conquer the world. You do not judge a building by the temporary scaffolding on which its builders walk. You see the vision in the mind of the architect.

THE DIALECTICAL NATURE OF PROGRESS

The second feature of the dialectic is the nature of progress. Dialectical progress takes place in a certain pattern. The Communist slogan is: "Nature acts dialectically in a room full of people, I do not walk through the aisle and straight towards my goal. Nor do I move slowly through the crowd shaking hands with friends and acquaintances, discussing points of interest, gradually nearing the objective. The dialectical pathway is different. It consists of a resolute forward advance followed by an abrupt turn and retreat. Having retreated a distance there is another turn and advance . Through a series of forward-backward steps the goal is approached.

The Communist goal is fixed and changeless, but their direction of advance reverses itself from time to time. (*Could be years and tens of years!*) They approach their goal by going directly away from it a considerable portion of the time. Lenin wrote the textbook, *One Step Forward, Two Steps Back*. Chinese Communist school children are taught to do the dialectical march, taking three steps forward and two steps back. If we judge where the Communists are going by the direction they are moving, we will obviously be deceived.

The Communist method of advance may be likened to the hammering of a nail. It is a very foolish person who brings the hammer down with a crashing resounding blow and the keeps pushing. When the first blow has spent itself , back must go the hammer in preparation for the next blow. A person seeking the reverse movement of the hammer as an isolated attack in time and not understanding the process of which this is a part, might find it difficult to believe that this hammer was driving in the nail. When he sees the backward swing as a portion of a complete process, he realizes that the withdrawal is as important as the downward thrust to the realization of the objective.

The Communists think and act dialectically. They realize that it is dialectical to approach their goal by going directly away from it. A modern day example is

*(**Perestroika** was a political movement for reformation within the Communist Party of the Soviet Union during the 1980s until 1991 widely associated with Mikhail Gorbachev and his **Glastnost** (meaning "openness") policy reform. **Glastnost** (in the former Soviet Union) the policy of practice of more open consultative government and wider dissemination of information initiated by leader Mikhail Gorbachev from 1985.)*

These are reforms that the Soviets put into play which gave the West a great sigh of relief thinking that the Soviets have had a change of heart, and that the West had put the Soviets away. NOT AT ALL! This was merely withdrawal of the Soviet hammer until the right time would come to strike again. Their ultimate goal is to abolish the family. But they cannot abolish the family until they have changed human nature; they cannot change human nature till they control completely the environment that generates human nature; they cannot totally control the environment until they have conquered the world and destroyed the present environment; and they cannot conquer the world unless they develop a more courageous, more patriotic, more nationalistic people than their enemy. By strengthening the family, they are dialectically abolishing it. There is no inconsistency here. They are applying dynamic Marxism.

This same concept thing applies in the realm of religion. The ultimate goal is the abolition of all religion. Since to the Communists none of the religious systems has any ultimate validity, but all of them constitute social forces which exist at present, there is nothing inconsistent with atheistic Communists being apparent fervent religionists in the interest of the final Communist objective.

CONFLICT

The third feature of the dialectic is the role of conflict in the process of change. According to the dialectic the driving force in any situation is the conflict of two opposing forces. There is the established force called the thesis and there is the conflicting force called the antithesis. The conflict between these two forces is the dynamic of progress. In dialectical language, everything is interpenetrated by its opposite. Nothing exists in isolation. You cannot have up without down; you cannot have plus without minus; you cannot have beauty without ugliness; you cannot have life without death. To every action there is an equal but opposite reaction. Initially this conflict gives a period of slow, relatively stable progress, a period of gradual change. This slow change never continues indefinitely. As change continues, a critical point is reached. Slow, gradual change gives way to rapid fundamental change. In dialectic terminology, the antithesis negated the thesis; there is transformation of quantity into quality and the emergence of a totally new direction of progress known as the synthesis. The synthesis now becomes the new thesis.

The dialectic is very valuable to the Communists. It is a very valuable tool for deceiving the intellectuals. It was from the dialectic that Marx derived the doctrine of the inevitability of revolution as the climax of class war. Surveying Capitalist society he said that the dynamic of Capitalism was a perfect illustration of the dialectic.

This practice is implemented by what is called the Delphi Technique.

This technique embodies a particular view or a thesis, and then provides an opposing or contrary position or an antithesis, and finally by synthesis to a place which reconciles the two positions and then becomes the basis of a new thesis. This logic continues on and on through time until the objective is reached, which of course it never does, because this worldly philosophical approach to unite all of mankind depends on change rather than the surety that only abides in the unchanging Torah of the God of Abraham, Isaac & Jacob. From my perspective it is a downward slide to Sodom and Gomorrah. Here is how it works.....

DELPHI TECHNIQUE OR CONSENSUS PROCESS RELATED TO HEGELIAN PRINCIPLE

Goal: The goal of the Delphi technique is to lead a targeted group of people to a pre-determined outcome, while giving the illusion of taking public input under the pretext of being accountable to the public. **For the Delphi to work, it is critical that the targeted group be kept away from knowledgeable people who could lead them away from the Delphier's predetermined outcome.**

DEFINITIONS

Consensus -- group solidarity in sentiment and belief; unanimity; collective opinion.

Process -- a series of actions or operations conducing to an end.

Condensus circle -- A soviet - term used for the same process in the former Soviet Union
Systems thinking -- Sees everything as "wholes" - as a system, analogous to all other systems irrespective of physical appearance. All things are equal, whether the ecosystem or mankind - man is no better than animal or a tree. (Underlying philosophy is Humanism.)

HEGELIAN PRINCIPLE

a. Thesis -- Embodying a particular view.

b. Antithesis -- Provides an opposing or contrary position.

c. Synthesis -- It reconciles the two previous positions and then becomes the basis of a new thesis.

All of this to bring by compromise (no relationship to right or wrong) a oneness that concludes that all is relative, situational. Through a process of evolution, Oneness of Mind supposedly occurs. The reality is quite different. Only an ILLUSION of Oneness of Mind occurs - with those who refuse to be Delphi'd being alienated from participating in the process. Only those who agree with the process are allowed on the "teams." New participants are carefully screened to ensure that the predetermined outcome goes forward unquestioned. The outcome is preset - but the "overseers" can say: We had community input.

As we see it at present the implementation of heinous crimes of the Russians/Soviets have and are being executed as promised. If your eyes are open and you can think it is difficult to miss what is being perpetrated on the American people by Marxist dialectics within our own government, pray for further understanding and protection that only can come by the Spirit of the Living God.